UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,319	07/29/2005	Patrice Hildgen	9680.258USWO	4825
23552 MERCHANT &	7590 06/26/200 & GOULD PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2903		BERMAN, SUSAN W		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/510,319	HILDGEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	/Susan W. Berman/	1796				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this comr ○ (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	- action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m nom consideration.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement					
	iootion roquiromont.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	. .					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO	-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori 	s have been received. s have been received in Application	on No	rana			
<u> </u>		a in this National Ot	age			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10-4-2004</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:	atont Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/510,319

Art Unit: 1796

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-21, 37-40 and 41-44 partially, drawn to a polymeric biodegradable nanosphere comprising a polyester-polyethylene multiblock copolymer, a method for delivering a pharmaceutical compound into a mammal by administration thereof and a method for preparation thereof.

Group II, claim(s) 22-28, drawn to a polyester-polyethylene multiblock copolymer of formula (III): ABA-B'-(ABA-B')_n-ABA (III), wherein A is a polyester, B is a polyethylene, B' is a dicarboxylic polyethylene and $n \ge 2$ and a method for preparing the polyester-polyethylene multiblock copolymer of formula (III).

Group III, claim(s) 29-36, drawn to an improved method for preparing a PLA-PEG-PLA multiblock copolymer of formual (I): ABA-(c-ABA)n-c-ABA (I), wherein ABA is a PLA-PEG-PLA triblock, c is a carboxylic diacid and n>=2.

Group IV, claim(s) 41-44 partially, drawn to a method for preparing polymeric biodegradable nanospheres from an emulsion comprising the steps of (i) preparing an organic internal phase comprising a pharmaceutical compound and a blend of polymers and a polyester, (ii) preparing an aqueous external phase, (iii) injecting both phases into a homogenization chamber having an outlet, (iv) evaporating and/or extracting the phases of step (iii) and (v) collecting the polymeric nanospheres by centrifucation or dialysis.

The inventions listed as Groups I to IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Polyester-polyethylene multiblock copolymeric nanospheres are known in the art and it is known in the art to use polyester-polyethylene multiblock copolymeric nanospheres for drug delivery. Therefor, the use of polyester-polyethylene multiblock copolymeric nanospheres for nanospheres or polyester-polyethylene multiblock copolymeric nanospheres for drug delivery does not function as a special technical feature to provide a single inventive concept uniting the groups of claims set forth above.

US 5,683,723 discloses biodegradable nanospheres comprising a PLA-PEG diblock copolymer.

Page 3

US 6,139,870 dislcoses nanospheres comprising a PLA-PEG diblock copolymer and an anticancer agent.

WO 0112718 discloses polymeric miscelles of PEG-PLA-PEG or PEG-PLDO-PEG triblock copolymers and anti-cancer drugs.

XP 000783648 discloses nanospheres of PLA-POE-PLA triblock copolymer and progesterone.

XP 002257858 discloses nanospheres of polycaprolactone-PEG-polycaprolactone triblock copolymers and clonazepam.

XP 002257860 discloses nanospheres comprising PLA-PEG copolymer and an anti-cancer drug.

A telephone call was made to Gregory A. Sebald on June 6, 2008, but did not result in an election.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 10/510,319 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to /Susan W. Berman/ whose telephone number is 571 272 1067.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571 272 1078. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SB

6/21/2008

/Susan W Berman/ Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1796